

Chapter #9

THEORY OF SOCIAL PARTITIONS AND IDENTITY DYNAMICS

Philippe Castel, & Marie-Françoise Lacassagne
SPMS (EA4180), Université de Bourgogne, France

ABSTRACT

The aim of this chapter is to present the theory of “social partitions” and its related methodology (RepMut) using the results of certain researchs. The theory of social partitions is part of a trend in social categorization started by Tajfel. According to the principle of humans permanently seeking positive identity, it links the social identity levels provided by the SCT with ways of thinking highlighted by the social psychology of language. It also considers the importance of the social scene in the identity dynamic. This theory led to the creation of a software program which is a diagnostic tool of intergroup relations. A set of studies carried out in various fields (sport, discrimination, education, health) using this tool have not only enabled identity strategies in terms of social partitions to be drawn out, but also the understanding of some psycho-social mechanisms to be refined.

Keywords: intergroup relations, social partitions, identity, discrimination.

1. INTRODUCTION

The promotion of the self, which might characterize certain “individualist” cultures as opposed to other so-called “collectivist” cultures at a given moment in their history, appears commonplace today, particularly with the use of new technologies.

In France, for example, as in the majority of western countries, self-promotion can be found on the social networks, with the selfie and the need to share your opinion on whatever subject with “all your friends” - concrete evidence of how important people consider themselves.

The promotion of the individual is seen increasingly at the collective level, even if this is to the detriment of a fair vision of macro-social evolution. The planet’s survival, contrary to what is advocated by certain slogans such as “*un geste plus un geste et c’est ma terre qui va mieux*” (action plus action and my world works better), cannot depend on the wishes of individuals alone. Societal changes are not epidemics which transmit from one subject to the next. Drivers can only choose electric cars, for example, if they exist. But their existence depends on social groups (politicians/oil producers; pro-nuclear/anti-nuclear, etc.) whose interests are often divergent.

In other words, social groups and the relations between them, even if they are not obvious today, continue to play a part in the societal dynamic.

The study of intergroup relations represents a large chapter in social psychology; since Tajfel, the socio-cognitive approach has reintroduced the identity of the subject, a movement which has become yet more systematic with Turner’s approach. Building on this movement, the aim of this chapter is to look in greater depth at the identity dynamic which each subject might put in place, but highlighting the social constraints imposed on that subject. The chapter therefore presents a theoretical model relating to the meta-theory of social identity (Abrams & Hogg, 2004), and the development proposed focuses on the social constraints imposed on the subject in spite of himself.

In order to account for the social determinants of behaviours, partitions theory (Castel & Lacassagne, 2005, 2007, 2011) uses firstly the identity levels of Turner, by radicalising the modes of functioning at each level based on the knowledge acquired in the social psychology of language. Secondly, it uses the notion of social scenes arising from theories of communication (Brown & Fraser, 1979), by showing that effective social roles are transformed by participants based on their identity interest.

The contribution of the theory of social partitions lies in showing that the representation of the situation, over and above any particular content that may be considered relevant or not, is, above all, structured by inter-categories such as the subjects invest in them. For this, it uses three types of partition: the hierarchical partition, the oppositional partition and the community partition.

The updating of these representations has led to the creation of an intergroup relations diagnostic tool (RepMut), supporting different studies in the field of sport, immigration, education and health.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. Social partitions and seeking positive identity

Social partitions theory is consistent with social categorisation theory (Tajfel, 1981), which highlights the existence of a self-favouritism bias (Tajfel, Billig, Boundy & Flament, 1971). Thus, in the field of employment, studies on flexibility which consider relations between permanent and temporary workers show that the permanent workers tend to favour their own group (Von Hippel, 2006).

As with all concepts associated with the meta-theory of social identity (Abrams & Hogg, 2004), this theory postulates that the biases benefiting the group to which the subject belongs are explained by the search for positive identity. For example, permanent workers make a distinction in their discrimination between voluntary temps posing little threat to their identity, and involuntary temps who want to join the companies where they work (Von Hippel & Kalokerinos, 2012).

2.2. Identity levels and mental functionings

In reference to the work of Turner and his collaborators (1987), partitions theory adopts the three levels of identity abstraction, enriching the 3 identities (specific, social and personal) characterising his early work. In effect, he considers these three identities to come under three abstraction levels (supraordinate, intermediate and subordinate) which, unlike stabilised identities, allow the subject to choose "identity containers". Thus, at the supraordinate level, the subject can consider himself a European worker, in which case at the intermediate level he can consider himself a German worker when standing before French workers, and Mr Dupont at the subordinate level. However, he can also consider himself an international worker at the supraordinate level, a European when standing before people from the United States at the intermediate level, and French at the subordinate level (Lacassagne & Castel, 2007). This lability in identity positions goes hand-in-hand with a form of behaviour management which is different at each of the levels.

Both Tajfel and Turner (1986) set about updating the particularities of the intermediate functioning (the functioning specific to the social identity). In his description of the self-categorisation process, Turner shows how, when intergroup relations are prominent, the subject chooses the category groups (ingroup and outgroup) most favourable to his identity by using a set of processes associated with this level (meta-contrast, fit and availability).

The activation of these category mechanisms leads to a process of de-individualisation, which pushes the personal identity to the back and gives emphasis to the possibility of different behaviours at each of the levels. In terms of what makes him unique, the individual does not adopt the behaviours he would adopt when he is a member of a group. Depending on whether he is communicating with his family or his colleagues, temporary workers, for example, he does not raise the same content and does not deal with ladder-of-life issues and anxiety at work in the same way (Chen, Popovich & Kogan, 1999).

Partitions theory radicalises the idea of the existence of a functioning specific to each of the levels by confining the principle of social comparison to the category level. The unicity of the supraordinate level posed by Turner through functional antagonism assumes, in our view, a different human functioning (collective identity) to that activated at the intermediate level (category identity), in the same way that the mental functioning activated at the subordinate level (personal identity) differs from that of the intermediate level.

This way of thinking is supported substantially in the French social psychology of language. In fact, we see the three identity functionings as similar to what were termed “cognitive-discursive programmes” by Ghiglione (1988). The author, together with his team, attempted an automated analysis of discursive production (Ghiglione, Matalon & Bacri, 1985). He notably attempted to draw from speakers cognitive-discursive programmes corresponding, with reference to Hintikka (1969), to the ways of logically seeing reality.

If the speaker refers to “reality as it exists”, he does not adopt the same methods of reasoning that he would if he sees reality as “worlds to be compared” or “a world to be created”. In the first case, his discourse is, at a syntactic level, saturated with succession markers (“and, then, and then, etc.”); in the second, it is saturated with polemical markers (“but, however, etc.”); while in the third, the markers highlighted are logical (“therefore, because, since, etc.”). In as much as the subordinate level corresponds to the identity of the subject shaped by his interactions with his material and human environment, for us, this level subscribes to “the reality as it exists”. The uniqueness of a subject, or rather what relates to his personal identity, comes from his own experiences, his own story, which cannot be likened to anyone else’s. The intermediate level, supporting the category identity (us/them), assumes a social comparison and therefore subscribes to “worlds to be compared”. The supraordinate level, through functional antagonism, suggests an assumed similarity, or rather is based on a collective, a set of individuals or groups with infinite differences which can only exist as a unit in the mind, this level therefore subscribing to “a world to be created”.

A second element supporting this concept which associates the identity levels of Turner and different human functioning's, structured around the principle of unicity at the supraordinate level, the principle of duality at the intermediate level and the principle of plurality at the subordinate level, relates to the ways of preventing discrimination (for a review of these ways, see Klauer, Hölzenbein, Calanchini & Sherman, 2014). At the category level, the social comparison still remains (world to be compared), but due to the cross-categorisation (Doise & Deschamps, 1979; Brewer, 2000), it becomes a question of changing opposite groups. Thus, the temporary worker might belong to the group of temporary workers when standing before the group of permanent workers of company X, or might be an employee in a temp agency when standing before the employees of company X (Galais & Moser, 2009; Moorman & Harland, 2002). When identity rules involve a change of level, the facilitation of the change to the supraordinate level involves “creating” a new collective, or rather assuming the existence of a supra-group (world to be created). Thus, Lipponen and Leskinen (2006) create an ingroup common to the permanent workers

threatened by the temporary workers so that they favour this new ingroup. Lastly, when identity rules involve a change to the subordinate level, the worker is in this case individualised. Over and above the five personal characteristics which better support temporary employment (Gannon & Brainin, 1971), or the level of study affecting the job satisfaction of this population (Slattery & Selvarayan, 2010) which might be in evidence, for example, individualisation by imagined contact (Crisp & BirteI, 2014) is an increasingly common strategy in a professional context, through coaching.

In conclusion, social partitions theory involves attaching a functioning specific to each of Turner's abstraction levels, choosing, for each, its structuring principle. This approach re-introduces the identities by behavioural constants. Thus, collective identity refers to the mental functioning relating to the supraordinate level, based on the unicity of the members who make up the group; social or category identity refers to the mental functioning relating to the intermediate level, structured around the duality affording the intergroup comparison; lastly, personal identity refers to the mental functioning based on the singularity underlying the plurality of the behaviours, and relates to the subordinate level.

2.3. Social scenes, representations of the situation and social partitions

In a second particular point of view, social partitions theory attributes a key role to social scenes, which favours the emphasis of representations. Even if, as Turner describes, the subject seeking a positive identity can manage his identities favourably in uncertain situations by self-categorising himself either in the most favourable category at the intermediate level or by changing level, he can also remain subject to the prescriptions of "social or societal scenes".

The notion of "social scene" is borrowed from the communication model of Brown and Fraser (1979). For these authors, the communication situation, which we extend to the notion of interaction, consists of a scene made up of a framework and a purpose (for example, clinical consultation, mid-career interview, etc.) in which the participants engage with each other. The interest of the model lies in the fact that the scene predefines the roles of the participants and, as such, fixes the identity level of each participant and the nature of the relationship between the two. Thus, the "clinical consultation" features a psychologist or even a psychoanalyst (social identity) and a patient (personal identity) in a relationship of support, defining a helper (advantageous position) and a helped (disadvantageous position); the "job interview" scene, on the other hand, features an HR manager (social identity) and job applicants (social identity) in a relationship of evaluation defining a decision-maker (advantageous position) and a social agent who must prove his/her capacities (disadvantageous position). The social scenes therefore play the role of a "conductor" (Pagès, 1985) of identity mobilisation in terms of levels and positions, which are favourable or unfavourable to the identity.

These external identity placements are most often adopted mindlessly by the subjects in an advantageous position, reinforcing, as such, the prominence of their position. The disadvantaged subjects are objectively threatened and therefore, following the principle of seeking a favourable identity, are forced to implement strategies to remove themselves from that situation. If they feel it's too costly to escape from the social scene, as in the final career interview for example, they can opt for cognitive strategies (Blanz, Mummendey, Mielke & Klink, 1998; Bernache-Assolant, 2010) based on the representation they make of the situation. While the HR manager, as the expert, attempts to align the needs of the company with the skills and aspirations of the employee, the latter, if he is older, might consider him a young novice who, unlike him, has no experience... In other words, the

disadvantaged subject can represent the scene another way, attributing a place to himself which enables him to enhance his identity.

In conclusion, partitions theory, accounting both for the prescriptive aspect of the scenes and the compelling need to obtain a favourable social identity, gives weight to the representations that the subjects, based on their principally asymmetrical positions, make of the situation.

2.4. Partitions as structuring elements of social roles

Since the identity of the disadvantaged subjects is threatened by the place they occupy in the social scene, it's therefore the representation of this place they must change. If, in each social scene, each of the participants makes a representation of his role in the sense of his identity interest, the structuring element of these representations at the intermediate level corresponds to the relative positioning of the categories. In partitions theory, this structuring element can be broken down into dominant-dominated (hierarchical partition), positive-negative (oppositional partition) or minority-majority (community partition). If the social scene gives the subject the role of dominated, in the dominant-dominated partition, he can represent the relationship as an opposition of values, or as belonging to a minority-majority group.

Statutory categorisations (see for example Badea & Deschamps, 2009; Sachdev & Bourhis, 1991) and community categorisations (Badea & Askevis-Leherpeux, 2005; Simon & Brown, 1987) have already been identified in literature. Oppositional categorisation has also been identified through the valence of the stereotypical traits attributed to each of the groups (Rubin, Hewstone & Voci, 2001). However, these methods of categorisation are still considered as socio-structural factors and not as systematic determinants of behaviour. In partitions theory, the representation a participant makes of the relative positions encloses him in a frame of behaviour. Metaphorically speaking, adopting a social partition produces a score (in the musical sense) to be respected even if the subject has a degree of freedom to interpret the situation as he chooses. More precisely, the partition adopted becomes a prescriptive framework which places the subject either in a relationship of power (hierarchical partition), or pushes him into a situation of defense of values (oppositional partition), or leads him to assert his existence (community partition). Consequently, social partitions, conceived as a representation of the social categorisation underlying the interaction, constitute the crux point of a dynamic of identity. From a socio-psychological perspective, the representation of the situation made by the subject, by virtue of its structuring nature, acquires the status of an external determinant of his behaviour. In other words, the dynamic of identity has an interpretative side, as the partitions adopted fulfill the identity aspirations of the subject, and a prescriptive side, which is imposed on the subject as a determinant of his behaviour.

3. REPMUT METHODOLOGY

From the perspective of methodology, social partitions theory has led to the creation of the software "RepMut" (intergroup relations diagnostic tool).

Since the partition is the structuring element of the subject's image of the situation, RepMut uses this representation. And since this structuring element corresponds to the relative positioning of the categories of the corresponding social scene, the tool considers firstly the image of the other group (out-representation) and secondly that of the group to which the subject belongs (in-representation). Since there are a limited number of structuring elements (3: oppositional – hierarchical - community), and these are defined

beforehand, it's a question only of identifying what differentiates the characteristic traits of each of the two groups in the representations.

The method is based on gathering the representations of the categories concerned and identifying the principles affording their differentiation. First, the subject freely associates certain traits to the out-group, and then to the in-group (representation). Second, he proceeds to a self-evaluation of his productions in terms of values (oppositional partition), status (hierarchical partition) and belonging to an in/out-group (community partition). The pattern of the differences obtained in scores between the in-group and the out-group allows us to identify the favoured partition.

The tool therefore firstly allows us to detect the partitions at play in the social scenes proposed, and secondly the changes of identity levels. It can also help us to test classic socio-psychological phenomena (in-group homogeneity, out-group homogeneity etc.).

From an epistemological perspective, the proposed paradigm relates to a paradigm of "concord" (Duchastel & Laberge, 1999). The intergroup relations diagnostic tool effectively integrates a bottom-up approach by being based on the free associations of the subjects concerning the two groups present, and a top-down approach by imposing a theoretical grid to analyse these free productions.

3.1. Methods

In the minimum configuration, during the qualitative phase, each subject first associates a certain number of adjectives (most often 5) which, for him, best qualify the other group (out-representation). He then repeats the process for his own group (in-representation). This order serves to impose the mobilisation of social identities. Indirect representations can also be expressed by asking the subject what he thinks the members of the other group think of him (meta-representation) or what the other members of his group think about the other group (stereo-representation).

During the quantitative phase, he scores his own adjectives on a valence dimension, on a social recognition dimension and on representativities, one for each of the groups (in-representativity, out-representativity), one for the superordinate set (super-representativity) and one for himself (self-representativity).

To identify the activated partitions, three indicators are used, namely the valence (average of evaluation scores weighted by the intra-category representativities), the status (average of the social recognition scores weighted by the intra-category representativities) and the entitativity (average of the conformance and distinctiveness scores). Since obtaining (or maintaining) a positive social identity results from a favourable comparison with one's category, the identification of a partition is necessarily based on the existence of a pro-in-group bias. When the latter concerns the valence, it is an oppositional partition; when it concerns the status, it is a hierarchical partition; when it concerns the entitativity, it is a community partition.

The analysis plan is "Subjects_n <Source₂>* Target₂* Parameters₆" and the preferred treatment method is a variance analysis when permitted by conditions of normality and homogeneity, or non-parametric tests (Mann-Whitney, Wilcoxon) when the conditions for using the first test are not met.

3.2. Illustration of several possibilities of the RepMut methodology using a number of applied research results

Several studies using this tool, conducted in different fields, allowed us to refine the understanding of certain psycho-social mechanisms, and to identify the identity strategies in terms of social partitions.

3.2.1. Refinement of psycho-social mechanisms

- Dissociation of the effects of the anti-racist norm and categorisation

In a study on relations between black and white players in professional basketball (Perchot, 2013; Perchot, Mangin, Castel & Lacassagne, in press), around fifty young subjects, all white, who had been playing basketball for around ten years, completed a RepMut questionnaire concerning out-representation and in-representation. Among the various self-evaluations, the analysis of just the valence enabled us to dissociate a social desirability effect from a social categorisation effect.

The average valences demonstrated a better view of black players than white players, or rather an out-favouritism bias, contrary to the principle of seeking a positive social identity. However, the consideration of the order of free production of the adjectives establishes two opposing effects. The first adjectives cited are significantly more positive for black players than for white players. It appears that the subjects (all white subjects in this case) initially conformed to the anti-racist norm by favouring the black players over the white players. Then, once they had fulfilled this obligation, they gradually allowed their need for a positive social identity to emerge by establishing a comparison which favoured their category (Tajfel et al., 1971).

This phenomenon is very common in black/white comparisons in France, where the anti-racist norm is virtually ever-present. The production of statistics on the basis of ethnicity, for example, is prohibited. This can be seen in another study through another aspect of the RepMut methodology, the indirect measurements.

- Dissociation of the effects of the anti-racist norm and prejudice

Within the context of the immigration of French-speaking black people to mainland France (Velandia-Coustol, unpublished doctoral thesis; Velandia-Coustol, Castel & Lacassagne, 2015), we used the RepMut methodology by applying it, unlike the previous study, to the members of both categories (White and Black people). In this case, the white people were all young Europeans of French nationality, and the black people, also young, were of African origin and living in France for an average of ten years. As with the previous questionnaire, this one included the out- and in-representation (5 adjectives to qualify the black people and 5 adjectives to qualify the white people, each subject beginning with the group he was not part of). It also included indirect representations, i.e. meta-representation and stereo-representation. Again presenting only the results concerning valence, we identify, by another process, the effect of the anti-racist norm by dissociating it from the prejudice.

The direct measurements demonstrated, for all subjects, that the judgments made about the black people were more positive than those made about the white people. In the black people's evaluation, this effect corresponded to an in-favouritism effect in accordance with the laws of categorisation. Conversely, for the white people, this corresponded to an out-favouritism effect, here again, apparently incompatible with seeking a positive social identity.

The analysis of the indirect measurements reinforces the interpretation whereby a White people effect represents a superficial compliance with the anti-racist norm. In fact, the scores of the indirect measurements concerning the black people were very clearly negative on the part of white people. The scores of these indirect measurements were also negative on the part of black people. In other words, both groups were in agreement in believing that the black people were "seen in a bad light". Furthermore, given that all the indirect measurements were negative (including measurements concerning the white people), relations between the two categories were perceived to be tense.

Thus, in both studies, the white people presented themselves as non-racist (they explicitly expressed a more positive view of black people than white people). In the

first study, it was the order of the adjectives freely produced that showed that discrimination against black people was nonetheless present. In the second study, the implicit measurements on the representation of their relations indicated the presence of prejudice against the black people. More generally, these experiments demonstrate that RepMut can dissociate the normative effects, firstly from the effects of categorisation (when direct measurements are used), and secondly from prejudice (when indirect measurements are used).

3.2.2. Social partitions and identity management

The RepMut methodology can also be used to identify the identity strategies in social scenes characterised by asymmetrical positions, as in a teaching relationship or between people in good/bad health for example.

In a study on relations between physical and sports education teachers and their pupils (Mangin, 2015), a RepMut questionnaire concerning the out-group and the in-group was circulated to around one hundred teachers and one hundred high school pupils, taking gender into consideration.

The results on the valence show that there was no categorisation effect, but there was a prejudice effect. The teachers were “seen in a good light” by both the pupils and by themselves, and the pupils were “seen in a bad light” both by themselves and by the teachers.

In order to handle this prejudgment, which had devalued them, certain pupils, particularly the boys, used a social mobility strategy. They believed they were better represented (self-representativity) by the qualities they associated with the teachers than those they associated with the pupils. Others, particularly the girls, seemed to consider themselves as forming a minority, which means they positioned themselves favourably in a community partition (conformance and distinctiveness effect). Accordingly, they believed themselves to be more entitative than the teachers.

Thus, accounting for the position occupied by his or her category (whether in terms of status – teachers/pupils, or gender – male/female), each person appears to develop a strategy which gives him or her satisfactory social identity. The teachers positively evaluated their category and devalued that of the pupils. In this way, they assumed a favourable place in the oppositional partition. For the pupils, the girls remained at the intermediate level by considering themselves a minority (community partition), while the boys tended to individually join the teachers’ ‘camp’, whose reputation was good.

In another study (Petueil, 2014) concerning relations between the sick and the healthy, a RepMut questionnaire, in its minimum form (out-group / in-group), was completed by both diabetics and non-diabetics during a diabetes information day.

As before, the results on the valence demonstrated a prejudgment favourable to people in good health, to the detriment of the diabetics. The two groups were in agreement in saying that the non-diabetics were more positive than the diabetics (prejudice).

Besides the evaluative discrimination they practiced, the non-diabetics marked a sharp contrast between the two groups, considering the diabetics as a homogeneous category (out-group homogeneity effect). Lastly, they personally distanced themselves strongly from the out-group (distinctiveness effect). In other words, the non-diabetics perceived the diabetics as a minority associated with poor values.

For their part, the diabetics, who knew and recognised the evaluative prejudgments against them, used a new identity strategy. According to these people, the sick people were in some respect healthier than the non-sick people, and the non-sick people were sicker than the sick people. Accordingly, they considered that the traits they associated with the diabetics were more representative of the non-diabetics than the diabetics, and that the traits

they associated with the non-diabetics were more representative of the diabetics than the non-diabetics.

In conclusion, the non-diabetics associated their advantageous position to the intermediate level, and the diabetics created an identity strategy consisting in inverting the in-categories.

4. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

More generally speaking, RepMut seems to be able to identify not only the identity strategies corresponding to the three partitions (the tool was developed on this theoretical basis), but also the strategies relating to other conceptions (Social Identity Theory, Self-Categorisation Theory) and even strategies which appear new such as category permutation. Nevertheless, RepMut must still be more precisely developed by exploiting the content of the adjectives cited by the participants, notably concerning the traits conveying the sense of identity.

5. CONCLUSION/DISCUSSION

The aim of this chapter was to present partitions theory and its methodology.

In this chapter, social partitions theory was presented essentially with reference to the founders of social categorisation and its developments in terms of identity. The purpose of this didactic choice was to show that other disciplines, such as the social psychology of language, communication, using social interaction another way, could firstly consolidate the foundations of current developments through their radicalisation, but could also perhaps enrich them, thereby opening up new avenues of research.

In fact, examining identity levels with cognitive and discursive programmes might provide a better understanding of the specificities at each level, placing less emphasis on the content than on the factors organizing the content. Kamiejski, Guimond, De Oliveira, Er-Rafiy and Brauer (2012), for example, showed that adherence to a citizen ideology resulted in more behaviours in favour of minorities than adherence to a secular ideology. We believe this effect can still be interpreted based on the notion of identity levels alone if we remember the structuring principles. To have a citizen ideology is to refer to the unicity of the supraordinate level, while to have a secular ideology is to refer to the duality of the intermediate level (secular versus religious). Similarly, examining the roles attributed in the social scenes and the structures underlying these roles (hierarchical, oppositional and community partition) allows us to do away with much of the content analysis (see for instance the role of “relevant/irrelevant evaluative dimensions”, Reichl, 1997; Bettencourt, Dorr, Charlton & Hume, 2001) to justify the implementation of certain mechanisms relative to others. Again, this consolidates the socio-cognitive perspective.

In terms of opening up avenues of research, partitions theory favours the consideration of the relative position of the representatives of two categories involved in different social scenes, and this leads to the discovery of mutual and asymmetrical representations and therefore an increasing number of strategies.

RepMut can help in this further research.

This new methodology is based on the behaviours expected according to partitions theory. These behaviours, when translated into indicators, allow us to identify the representations of the relations co-constructed between the groups, among participants, associated with social identity.

The body of evidence established from RepMut allows us, on a large-scale, to confirm or refute the effects already identified. In fact, numerous data gathered with a common system allow us to establish comparisons in order to identify invariants and detect specificities. Past data can also serve to test new hypotheses (fundamental research).

Lastly, from a pragmatic perspective, the RepMut tool, because it is automated, allows for rapid and precise analyses of inter-group relations, thereby allowing for the appropriate remedies to be proposed, the efficacy of which can be tested by post-test use.

REFERENCES

- Abrams, D., & Hogg, M. A. (2004). Metatheory: Lessons from social identity research. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 8(2), 98-106. doi:10.1207/s15327957pspr08022
- Badea, C., & Askevis-Leherpeux, F. (2005). Catégorisations inclusives et jugements de variabilité: comment annuler les effets du statut numérique. [Inclusive categorizations and variability judgments: how to cancel the effects of the numerical status] *International Review of Social Psychology*, 18(4), 5-23.
- Badea, C., & Deschamps, J.-C. (2009). Perception d'homogénéité intragroupe et dynamique du statut social. [In-group homogeneity perception and dynamics of social status] *International Review of Social Psychology*, 22, 91-115.
- Bernache-Assolant, I. (2010). Stratégies de gestion identitaire et supporterisme ultra. [Identity management strategies and ultra sport fandom] *Sciences et Motricité*, 69, 3-22.
- Bettencourt, B. A., Dorr, N., Charlton, K., & Hume, D. L. (2001). Status differences and in-group bias: A meta-analytic examination of the effects of status stability, status legitimacy, and group permeability. *Psychological Bulletin*, 127(4), 520-542.
- Blanz, M., Mummendey, A., Mielke, R., & Klink, A. (1998). Responding to negative social identity: A taxonomy of identity management strategies. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 28(5), 697-729.
- Brewer, M. B. (2000). Reducing Prejudice Through Cross Categorization: effects of multiple social identities. In S. Oskamp (Ed.), *Reducing Prejudice and Discrimination* (pp. 165-184). NY: Psychology Press.
- Brown, P., & Fraser, C. (1979). Speech as a marker of situation. In K. R. Scherer & H. Giles (Eds.), *Social Markers in Speech* (pp. 33-62). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Castel, P., & Lacassagne, M.-F. (2005). Les partitions discriminantes dans la négociation du contrat de communication. [The discriminating partitions within the negotiation of the contract of communication] *Bulletin de Psychologie*, 477(3), 299-306. doi:10.3917/bupsy.477.0299
- Castel, P., & Lacassagne, M.-F. (2007). La communication comme symptôme de l'ordre idéologique: une illustration à travers deux types de discrimination. [Communication as a symptom of the ideological order: An illustration through two types of discrimination] In M. Bromberg & A. Trognon (Eds.), *Psychologie Sociale et Communication [Social Psychology and Communication]* (pp. 49-62). Paris: Dunod Editeur.
- Castel, P., & Lacassagne, M.-F. (2011). Contrat de communication et partitions sociales. [Contract of communication and social partitions] In P. Castel, E. Salès-Wuillemin & M.-F. Lacassagne (Eds.), *Psychologie Sociale, Communication et Langage: de la conception aux applications* (pp. 17-34). Liège: De Boeck.
- Chen, P. Y., Popovich, P. M., & Kogan, M. (1999). Let's talk: patterns and correlates of social support among temporary employees. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 4(1), 55-62. doi:10.1037/1076-8998.4.1.55
- Crisp, R. J., & Birtel, M. D. (2014). Reducing prejudice through mental imagery: Notes on replication, interpretation and generalization. *Psychological Science*, 25(3), 840-841. doi:10.1177/0956797613520169
- Doise, W., & Deschamps, J.-C. (1979). *Expériences entre Groupes. [Intergroup Experiences]*. Paris: Mouton.
- Duchastel, J., & Laberge, D. (1999). La recherche comme espace de médiation interdisciplinaire. [Research as a space of interdisciplinary mediation] *Sociologie et Sociétés*, 31(1), 63-76.

- Galais, N., & Moser, K. (2009). Organizational commitment and the well-being of temporary agency workers: A longitudinal study. *Human Relations*, 62(4), 589–620. doi:10.1177/0018726708101991
- Gannon, M. J., & Brainin, U. (1971). Factors related to acceptance and refusal of employment among temporary help applicants. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 55(5), 493–494. doi:10.1037/h0031763
- Ghiglione, R. (1988). Enjeux et discours: le paradigme de la cohérence. [Stakes and discourse: the coherence paradigm]. *International Review of Social Psychology*, 1(3-4), 365-388.
- Ghiglione, R., Matalon, B., & Bacri, N. (1985). *Les Dires Analysés, l'Analyse Propositionnelle du Discours*. [The Said Analyzed, the Propositional Analysis of Discourse] Vincennes: PUV.
- Hintikka, J. (1969). *Models for Modalities*. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Kamiejski, R., Guimond, S., De Oliveira, P., Er-Rafiy, A., & Brauer, M. (2012). Le modèle d'intégration républicain: Implications pour la psychologie des relations entre groupes. [The republican model of integration: Implications for the psychology of intergroup relations] *L'année Psychologique*, 112, 51-85.
- Klauer, K. C., Hölzenbein, F., Calanchini, J., & Sherman, J. W. (2014). How Malleable is Categorization by Race? Evidence for Competitive Category Use in Social Categorization. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 107(1), 21-40. doi:10.1037/a0036609
- Lacassagne, M.-F., & Castel, P. (2007). Dynamique de l'identité européenne. [European identity dynamics]. *Les Cahiers de Psychologie Politique*, 10, 127-134. Retrieved from: <http://odel.irevues.inist.fr/cahierspsychologiepolitique/index.php?id=925>
- Lipponen, J., & Leskinen, J. (2006). Condition of contract, common in-group identity, and in-group bias toward contingent workers. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 146(6), 671-684. doi:10.3200/socp.146.6.671-684
- Mangin, F. (2015). *La Co-construction des Positionnements Identitaires des Enseignants et des Elèves en E.P.S.* [The Co-construction of Identity Positions of Teachers and Pupils in Physical and Sports Education] Doctoral dissertation, Université de Bourgogne, Dijon.
- Moorman, R., & Harland, L. (2002). Temporary employees as good citizens: Factors influencing their OCB performance. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 17(2), 171–187.
- Pageès, R. (1985). L'emprise, concepts et chantier. [Emprise, concepts and construction] *Bulletin de Psychologie*, 39(3-6), 101-127.
- Perchot, R. (2013). *Racial Stacking et stéréotypes raciaux en sport collectif: le cas particulier du basket-ball en contexte français*. Doctoral thesis, Université de Bourgogne, Dijon. <http://hal-obspm.ccsd.cnrs.fr/STAR/tel-00993175v1>
- Perchot, R., Mangin, F., Castel, P., & Lacassagne, M. F. (in press). For a socio-psychological approach of the concept of racial stacking. *European Journal for Sport and Society*.
- Peteuil, A. (2014). *Présentation d'une Méthode d'Etude des Relations Sociales (RepMut): applications aux rapports entre les malades chroniques et les bien-portants*. [Presentation of a Study Method of Social Relations (RepMut): Rapports between chronic and healthy people] Doctoral dissertation, Université de Bourgogne, Dijon.
- Reichl, A. J. (1997). Ingroup favouritism and outgroup favouritism in low status minimal groups: Differential responses to status-related and status-unrelated measures. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 27(6), 617-633.
- Rubin, M., Hewstone, M., & Voci, A. (2001). Stretching the boundaries: Strategic perceptions of intragroup variability. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 31(4), 413-429.
- Sachdev, I., & Bourhis, R. Y. (1991). Power and status differentials in minority and majority group relations. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 21(1), 1-24.
- Slattery, J., & Selvarajan, T. (2010). Relationship between job characteristics and attitudes: A study of temporary employees. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 40(6) 1539–1565.
- Simon, B., & Brown, R. (1987). Perceived intragroup homogeneity in minority-majority contexts. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 53(4), 703–711.
- Tajfel, H. (1981). *Human Groups and Social Categories: Studies in social psychology* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Tajfel, H., Billig, M. G., Bundy, R. P., & Flament, C. (1971). Social categorization and intergroup behaviour. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 1(2), 149-178. doi: 10.1002/ejsp.2420010202
- Turner, J. C., Hogg, M., Oakes, P. J., Reicher, S., & Wetherell, M. (1987). *Rediscovering the Social Group: A Self-Categorisation Theory*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1986). The social identity theory of intergroup behavior. In S. Worchel & W. G. Austin (Eds.), *Psychology of Intergroup Relations* (pp. 7-24). Chicago: Nelson-Hall.
- Velandia-Coustol, C. Les Relations Intergroupes entre les Français et les Ressortissants d'Afrique Subsaharienne Francophone. Les relations interethniques dans les domaines professionnel et sportif en Bourgogne. [Intergroupe relations between Frenchs and Frenchspeaking Sub-Saharan African nationals. Interethnic relations in the professional and sportive domain in Burgundy]. Unpublished Doctoral thesis, Université de Bourgogne, Dijon.
- Velandia-Coustol, C., Castel, P. & Lacassagne, M.-F. (2015). Black - White intergroup relations in France. The impact of antiracist norm and categorization process using the RepMut tool. *Athens Journal of Social Sciences*, 2(4), 264-274. Retrieved from <http://www.athensjournals.gr/social/2015-2-4-2-Coustol.pdf>
- Von Hippel, C. D. (2006). When people would rather switch than fight: Out-Group favoritism among temporary employees. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 9(4), 533-546. doi:10.1177/1368430206067556
- Von Hippel, C. D., & Kalokerinos, E. K. (2012). When temporary employees are perceived as threatening: antecedents and consequences. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 33(2), 200-216. doi:10.1108/01437731211203483

AUTHOR(S) INFORMATION

Full name: Philippe Castel

Institutional affiliation: SPMS (EA4180)

Institutional address: Université de Bourgogne, Département de Psychologie, Pôle AAFE, BP 26513, 21065 Dijon Cedex, France.

Short biographical sketch: Philippe Castel is a professor of social psychology at the University of Burgundy and head of research on dynamics of identity and social partitions within the SPMS (Socio-Psychology and Sports Management) laboratory. Based on analyses of discourse addressed to target populations of various socio-ethnic minorities, he has identified a number of discrimination mechanisms which appear to correspond to three types of partition (hierarchical, oppositional and community). Today, his research focuses on the identity strategies used by subjects according to the partition in which they are included and the place they occupy within that partition. The corresponding data gathering and analysis methodology is highly advanced, and the software for the identification of intergroup relations based on mutual representations (RepMut) is in the process of development on this basis.

Full name: Marie-Françoise Lacassagne

Institutional affiliation: SPMS (EA4180)

Institutional address: Université de Bourgogne, Faculté des Sciences du Sport, BP 27877, 21078 Dijon Cedex, France.

Short biographical sketch: Marie-Françoise Lacassagne is a professor of social psychology of physical and sporting activities (STAPS) at the University of Burgundy and director of the Socio-Psychology and Sports Management laboratory (SPMS-EA4180). Her research is underpinned by the same theoretical model: the existence of human functionings which differ according to the social inclusion levels of the subject. These inclusion levels are limited to the ways, permitted by language, of considering reality as a real world, as worlds to compare or as a world to create. Studying all the strategies now included in the literature, whether relating to sports psychology or the social psychology of sport, she seeks to identify the particular links to individual and social identities. Lastly, she gives particular consideration to ageism as a result of identity management strategies, and seeks to understand the factors determining its activation in every day life.