# Chapter #15

# GENDER DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PERCEPTIONS OF PHYSICS AND SCIENCE IN GENERAL AMONGST SENIOR AND JUNIOR STUDENTS AT A SOUTH AFRICAN UNIVERSITY

#### Leelakrishna Reddy

University of Johannesburg, Department of Physics, Johannesburg, South Africa

#### ABSTRACT

It is widely accepted from literature that male students outperform their female counterparts in science, and in particular physics. Accordingly, gender differences stem from their prior backgrounds in mathematics, physics and differences about their attitudes and beliefs about the subject. To measure students' differences in perceptions regarding physics and science, use is made of a questionnaire that is comprised of two sections; A (four questions) and B (fifteen questions). In section A students were required to respond to questions that relates to their relationship between life and physics, chemistry and mathematics, while in section B, a probe is made regarding their confidence in physics and chemistry. 101 students participated in this study. Results are presented in both numeric and in percentage form. The fundamental difference between the genders is that in both groups there appears to be a strong affinity for chemistry for the female students, and even a stronger liking for chemistry by the senior analytical chemistry students. The males on the other hand shown an average liking for chemistry in both cohorts, but a slightly better liking for physics as a subject. From this anecdotal study, it appears that females show more preferences for science and chemistry than their male counterparts do.

Keywords: physics, gender, chemistry, anecdotal, questionnaire.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Physics as a subject is important for any country's welfare and technological advancement (Baran, 2016). Thus, the contribution by physicist is of paramount importance for any growing scientific economy. The lack of which can be detrimental for any technological endeavors. In this case, it can be said that equal contribution by both males and females to science would be any ideal scenario. However, research points to the converse of this scenario. This stems from the fact that physics is considered a difficult subject and thus there are variations in perceptions between males and females. Accordingly, females' lack of interest in the subject, results in them showing less enthusiasm for the subject (Baran, 2016; Bamidele, 2001). Research by Visser (2007) and Ozgun-Koca & Sen (2011) revealed that males preferred physics to their female counterparts. In the same vein, research by Wei-Zhao Shi (Shi, 2012) reveals a very different scenario for Chinese students. He found that female students preferred physics learning by relating and by analysis and this correlated to their better understanding in the subject, while the males preferred to study physics by rote learning, and this resulted in a negative correlation in their performance. In comparison to research done by Kessel, Rau, & Hannover (2006), they found that male students preferred physics to their female counterparts. Because of this, they found that females were not

interested in physics and were lesser successful in the subject (Baran, 2016). This correlates well with the research done by Saleh (2014), who indicated that females experienced a higher level of difficulty in understanding physics. In the same token, research done by Zavala, Millan, Dominguez, & Gonzalez-Suarez (2015), points towards to students generally failing first year physics and mathematics courses. This unfolds because they do not see the relevance of physics and mathematics as part of their professional career progression. In terms of interest of different science subjects, research reveals that females have a higher inclination for learning biology while physics and chemistry is more preferred by males (Kang, Hense, Scheersoi, & Keinonen, 2019). These results concur with the research of Blickenstaff (2005) that biology is more preferred by females than males. The reason for such choices by females is because biology as a subject is related to caring of people and animals, whilst physics is preferred by males as it deals with things (Diekman, Brown, Johnston & Clark, 2010; Kang et al., 2019). According to UNESCO statistics, it is revealed that only 30% of the Sub-Saharan workforce in Africa are represented by women in the STEM field. The lack of interest for core science subjects has resulted in women being underrepresented in STEM careers. It is in this respect that this research aims to shed more light on students' perception of physics and science in general with respect to gender between senior and junior students at a South African university. It is hoped that this research will fill a gap in understanding of how student's pre-knowledge of physics from their high school studies would change through their progression of their studies at a tertiary level. This study is important as it will give a perspective of students' perceptions of physics and science in general from a South African point of view. Besides comparing students' perception of physics and science in general as a function of gender, this study also compares that perception of students engaged at different levels of study at a university.

### 1.1. Research question

The fact that differences in physics learning perception based on gender is a common occurrence in Western countries, our interest is to investigate if the same perceptions of learning physics and science exists amongst South African university students.

#### 1.2. Background

The background for this study is taken from the work of Gasant (2011) and Shi (2012) as well as some of the references cited within the latter reference paper. The manner in which gender has influenced the participation and performance between girls and boys indicates that there is a definite disparity between the genders. Science is generally viewed as a male-dominated subject and thus the women is perpetually faced with the oppression of such a male dominated society. Schools are places for the perpetuation of gender inequalities. Further, their socio-cultural perception influences their thinking about gender and science.

There has been considerable research in Western countries that have indicated that male students outperform their female counterparts in their perceptions of physics. Feminist scholars have reported that females need to relate and connect with their object of study (Maccoby & Jacklin, 1974). On the other hand, research by Kahle & Meece (1994) have indicated that females only understand a concept if they could put it into a broader world context, while males regard physics as valuable and learn the concepts internally in a coherent way.

L. Reddy

# 2. METHODOLOGY

#### 2.1. Instrument used

This study was undertaken to measure students' perception of physics and science in general as function of gender between the junior and senior students at a South African university. To measure such perceptions, use is made of a questionnaire developed by Keith Wurtz (2007) (Chaffey College) for this study. This questionnaire consists of two parts; with section A (comprised of 4 questions) and section B (comprised of 15 questions). Section A probes the students' relationship to science (mathematics, physics and chemistry) and section B probes their confidence with respect to chemistry and physics. The students were required to respond to each of the questions of the questionnaire on a rating scale of between +1 (Disagree) to +6 (Agree). For convenience, the rating scores of the following were combined: (+1, +2), (+3, +4) and (+5, +6). The survey took no more than 10 minutes to complete.

### 2.2. Participants

A total of 101 students participated in this study, comprising of juniors (27 males and 37 females) from the Emergency and Medical Care and Podiatry departments, while the senior students (12 males and 25 females) came from the Analytical Chemistry department. These students were studying physics (non-major) as part of their curricular studies.

#### 2.3. Data analysis

This research makes a comparison between junior and senior students' perception of physics and science in general as a function of gender. Results are expressed in both numeric and percentage form. Statistical analysis (t-test) was used to make comparisons between male and female perceptions about physics and science in general.

#### 2.4. Ethical considerations

Prior to the start of this research, permission was sought from each of the above groups of students to conduct the research. Further, an explanation was provided as why such a project was undertaken.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The results of the students' perception about physics and science in general are presented in tables 1 to 4, with tables 1 and 2 referring to the junior students while tables 3 and 4 refers to the senior students.

# Table 1.

# Comparisons of the junior students' perceptions about their relationship between their lives and physics, mathematics and chemistry.

No	Description	Males				Females			
		+1	+3	+5	+1	+3	+5		
		and	and	and	and	and	and		
		+2	+4	+6	+2	+4	+6		
1	I understand the relationship between my life and	0	2	25	0	22	15		
	physics	0%	8%	59%	0%	59%	41%		
2	I understand the relationship between my life and	0	7	20	1	17	19		
	chemistry	4%	37%	59%	3%	46%	51%		
3	Math is important to the understanding of science	0	1	26	1	2	34		
		0%	4%	96%	3%	5%	92%		
4	I am confident in my mathematics ability	0	7	20	2	14	21		
		0%	26%	74%	5%	38%	57%		

 Table 2.

 Comparison of the junior students' perception about their confidence in physics and chemistry.

No	Description		Males			Females			
		+1	+3	+5	+1	+3	+5		
		and	and	and	and	and	and		
		+2	+4	+6	+2	+4	+6		
1	The parts of an atom	1	4	27	2	9	26		
		4%	15%	82%	5%	24%	70%		
2	Static electricity	1	10	16	4	21	12		
		4%	37%	59%	11%	57%	32%		
3	How atoms form compounds	1	6	20	0	16	21		
		4%	23%	74%	0%	43%	57%		
4	Inertia	0	5	22	2	8	27		
		0%	19%	82%	5%	22%	73%		
5	How iron forms rust	3	9	15	2	16	19		
		11%	33%	56%	5%	43%	51%		
6	Simple machines	1	10	16	2	17	18		
		4%	37%	59%	5%	46%	49%		
7	How atoms forms ions	1	11	15	2	11	24		
		4%	40%	56%	5%	30%	65%		
8	Magnetism	3	8	16	3	13	21		
		11%	33%	59%	8%	35%	57%		
9	The difference between organic and inorganic	1	5	21	2	4	31		
	compounds	4%	19%	77%	5%	11%	84%		
10	The relationship between magnetism and	3	12	12	2	17	18		
	electricity	11%	45%	45%	5%	46%	49%		
11	The different states of matter	2	7	18	1	5	31		
		7%	29%	67%	3%	14%	84%		
12	How sound moves through air	0	11	16	3	13	21		
		0%	41%	59%	8%	35%	57%		
13	Acidic and basic compounds	0	8	19	2	7	28		
	-	0%	30%	70%	5%	19%	76%		
14	How heat is transferred from one substance to	0	11	16	1	21	15		
	another	0%	40%	60%	3%	57%	41%		
15	How batteries form electricity	2	14	11	2	27	8		
	-	7%	52%	41%	5%	73%	22%		
16	The properties of light	2	10	15	0	24	13		
	-	7%	37%	56%	0%	65%	35%		

# Table 3.

# Comparisons of the senior students' perceptions about their relationship between their lives and physics, mathematics and chemistry. Order of presentation is females first followed by males.

No	Description	Females				Males			
		+1	+3	+5	+1	+3	+5		
		and	and	and	and	and	and		
		+2	+4	+6	+2	+4	+6		
1	I understand the relationship between my life and	1	17	7	4	4	4		
	physics	4%	68%	28%	33%	34%	33%		
2	I understand the relationship between my life and	0	2	23	0	0	12		
	chemistry	0%	8%	92%	0%	0%	100%		
3	Math is important to the understanding of science	1	6	18	0	2	10		
		4%	24%	72%	0%	16%	84%		
4	I am confident in my mathematics ability	2	16	7	1	3	8		
		8%	64%	28%	8%	25%	67%		

 Table 4.

 Comparison of the senior students' perception about their confidence in physics and chemistry.

No	Description	Females			Males			
		+1	+3	+5	+1	+3	+5	
		and	and	and	and	and	and	
		+2	+4	+6	+2	+4	+6	
1	The parts of an atom	0	5	20	1	3	8	
		0%	20%	80%	8%	25%	67%	
2	Static electricity	1	19	5	2	4	6	
		4%	76%	20%	17%	33%	50%	
3	How atoms form compounds	0	4	21	1	3	8	
		05	16%	84%	8%	25%	67%	
4	Inertia	0	15	10	1	4	7	
		0%	60%	40%	85	34%	58%	
5	How iron forms rust	0	5	20	0	4	8	
		0%	20%	80%	0%	33%	67%	
6	Simple machines	1	15	9	1	6	5	
		4%	60%	36%	8%	50%	42%	
7	How atoms forms ions	0	4	21	1	3	8	
		0%	16%	84%	8%	25%	67%	
8	Magnetism	0	12	13	3	3	6	
		0%	48%	52%	25%	25%	50%	
9	The difference between organic and inorganic	0	2	23	0	1	11	
	compounds	0%	8%	92%	0%	8%	92%	
10	The relationship between magnetism and	0	14	11	2	5	5	
	electricity	0%	56%	44%	17%	41%	42%	
11	The different states of matter	0	2	23	1	2	9	
		0%	8%	92%	85	175	75%	
12	How sound moves through air	1	7	17	0	7	5	
		4%	28%	68%	0%	58%	42%	
13	Acidic and basic compounds	0	4	21	0	1	11	
		0%	16%	84%	0	8%	92%	
14	How heat is transferred from one substance to	0	4	21	0	3	9	
	another	0%	16%	84%	0%	25%	75%	
15	How batteries form electricity	1	10	14	2	2	8	
		4%	40%	56%	17%	16%	67%	
16	The properties of light	0	7	18	1	5	6	
		0%	28%	72%	8%	41%	51%	

# 3.1. Gender comparisons of the perceptions of physics and science in general amongst junior students

In table 1, we see a strong relationship between the student's life and chemistry for both genders (item 3, 96% for males and 92% for females) of this study. Because of this, everything that unfolds from this research is an inclination for chemistry related topics. This is reflected in item 2 of the questionnaire where the perceptions of both genders are given (item 2, 59% for males and 51% for females). Of note is that male students show a stronger inclination for mathematics as a subject than physics. A t-test score of the mean values was found to be 0.003, which is less than the probability p < 0.05, which implies that the differences between the perceptions of physics and science in general of the junior students is not significant.

In table 2, a similar trend unfolds for both males and females in that they show stronger preference for chemistry related topics. In this regard, males have a stronger preference for topics such as the "parts of an atom" (item 1, 82%) and a sound foundational understanding of the differences between "organic and inorganic chemistry" (item 9, 77%). By comparison, to the females, they also have a strong preference for the latter topic (item 9, 84%) and for a sound understanding of the different states of matter in chemistry (item 11, 84%). Both genders appear to have a moderate understanding of the relationships between magnetism and electricity (item 10, 45% for males and 49% for females) in physics. Of particular interest, for some reason males appear to have a good understanding of the topic "inertia" in physics (item 4, 82%). It is also not surprising to see students (both genders) preference for acids and bases (item 13, 70% for males and 76% for females) when their field of study is not in chemistry. For the female students, it is observed that they have a moderate preference for topics in physics, and in particular of for how electricity is obtained from battery power (item 15, 22%) and the properties of light (16, 35%). The t-test score of the mean values was found to be 0.00003, which is very much less than the probability value p < 0.05, which implies that the junior student's perception about their confidence in physics and chemistry is not significant.

# **3.2.** Gender comparisons of the perceptions of physics and science in general amongst senior students

The results of table 3 indicates that senior students have a good association of chemistry and find meaning of it in their lives (item 2, 100% for males and 92% for females). They also realize that mathematics is crucial for their understanding of science (item 3, 84% for males and 72% for females). Their low preference for physics (item 1, 33% for males and 28% for females) is in keeping of their preferred choice of chemistry for their studies. In this instance, physics is regarded as an auxiliary (pre-requisite subject) subject (even at the 2<sup>nd</sup> year level). For these students doing physics is just to understand some related concepts in chemistry better. The t-test score of the mean values was found to be 0.80, which is very much greater than the probability value p < 0.05, which implies that the comparisons of the senior students' perception about their relationship between their lives and physics, mathematics and chemistry is significant.

From table 4, we see that female students have a strong affinity for chemistry related topics compared to the males. In particular, they have a good knowledge of "parts of an atom" (item 1, 80%) and how atoms are used to form compounds (item 3, 84%), what happens to iron when it combines with oxygen (rusting) (item 5, 80%) and the various states of matter (item 11, 92%). They also have a good understanding of how atoms forms ions (item 7, 84%). As far as the males students are concerned, their preference for these topics is quite average

#### L. Reddy

(item 1, 67%), item 3 (67%), item 5 (67%) and item 7 (67%). One of the items for which both males and females have exactly the same level of preference is item 9 (males 92% and females 92%). For this item, these students appear to have an excellent understanding of the differences between organic and inorganic chemistry, which is very much in keeping with their field of study. With a good background in chemistry, they also show a strong preference for acids and bases (item 13, 92% for males and 84% for females). These topics are also done at junior and senior grades at high school. A topic in the questionnaire, which is well covered in basic or general science is the topic "heat transfer" and for this topic their knowledge appears to be good (item 14, 84% for females). Correspondingly, the male student's preference for items 13 (92%) and 14 (75%) appears to be good as well. The t-test score of the mean values was found to be 0.10, which is very much greater than the probability value p < 0.05, which implies that the comparisons of the senior students' perception about their confidence in physics and chemistry is significant.

# **3.3.** Comparisons of the perceptions for physics and science in general between the juniors and seniors

The seniors, because of their field of study, show a higher preference for chemistry related topics than the juniors. In particular, senior females have a high affinity for chemistry compared to the males. In contrast to the juniors, the males have a greater preference for chemistry than the junior females. Whilst the seniors show limited interest in physics, the juniors appear to show a slightly better interest to physics related topics. In particular, the junior males have a slightly higher preference for science related topics than the females.

# 4. CONCLUSION

This study was undertaken to determine the students' perception about physics and science in general between the genders amongst junior and senior students at a South African university. Results reveals that both juniors and seniors have a high preference for chemistry, even though the juniors are not specializing in chemistry. In addition, the senior female students have the highest preference for chemistry than both the senior male and junior students. The preference for physics by both cohorts of students is limited and is a cause for concern. In future a similar survey will be conducted amongst students who are pursuing a pure physics field of study.

#### REFERENCES

- Bamidele, O.M.F. (2001). Promoting Science and Mathematics Education amongst females in Nigeria. Presented at the NCCE/UNESCO 5-Day Train the Trainer Workshop for Revitalization of Science Education in Nigeria.
- Baran, M. (2016). An Analysis on High School Students' Perceptions of physics Courses in Terms of Gender. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 4(3), 150-160.
- Blickenstaff, J. (2005). Women and science careers: Leaky pipeline or gender filter? *Gender and Education*, 17(4), 369-386.
- Diekman, A. B., Brown, E. R., Johnston, A. M., & Clark, E. K. (2010). Seeking congruity between goals and roles: A new look at why women opt out of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics careers. *Psychological Science*, 21(8), 1051-1057.
- Gasant, M.W. (2011). Gender and Perceptions of Science & Science Education: A case study in Mitchells Plain (Doctoral dissertation UWC, South Africa).

- Kang, J., Hense, J., Scheersoi, A., & Keinonen, T. (2019). Gender study on the relationships between science interest and future career perspectives. *International Journal of Science Education*, 41(1), 80-101.
- Kahle, J. B., Meece, J. (1994). Research on Gender Issues in the Classroom. In: D. Gabels (Eds.), Handbook of Research on Science Teaching and Learning (pp. 112-129). Washington, D.C.: National Science Teachers Association.
- Kessel, U., Rau, M., & Hannover, B. (2006). What goes well with physics? Measuring and altering the image of science. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 76(4), 761-780.
- Maccoby, E.E., & Jacklin, C. N. (1974). The psychology of sex differences. Stanford, California: Stanford University Press.
- Ozgun-Koca, S.A., & Sen, A.I. (2011). Evaluation of beliefs and attitudes of high school students towards science and mathematics courses. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 8(1), 42-60.
- Saleh, S. (2014). Malaysian students' motivation towards Physics learning. European Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 2(4), 223-232.
- Shi, W-Z. (2012). Gender, perception of learning physics and performance in university physics: A case study from China. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 11(3), 267-274.
- Visser, Y. L. (2007). Convergence and divergence in children's attitudes towards the sciences and science education. Learning Development Institute, Florida Atlantic University.
- Zavala, G., Millan, C., Dominguez, A., & Gonzalez-Suarez, J. (2015, June). Students' perception of relevance of physics and mathematics in engineering majors. Paper presented at the 122nd ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition, Seattle, WA.

# **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Full name: Leelakrishna Reddy

Institutional affiliation: University of Johannesburg

Institutional address: University of Johannesburg, P.O.Box 17011, Doornfontein, 2028 (Corner of Beit and Nind Street)

**Short biographical sketch:** I am an Associate Professor in the Department of Physics at the University of Johannesburg. I specialise in Condensed Matter, Nanotechnology and Physics Education.